IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, HLLINOIS MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT, FIRST DISTRICT 2006 Hor 16 Phi 3: 33

)

YULING ZHAN	1,	
	Plaintiff,	
V.		
NAPLETON BU	JICK, INC., and COMPANY,	
Ň	Defendants.	

No. 04 M1 23226

DON CLEAR CONTROL DEEDRIGHT ONNEL CONTROL THE CONTROL ON CONTROL

DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS NUMBERS 6, 9, 20 AND 42

Defendant, D'ANDREA BUICK, INC., (formerly known as Napleton Buick, Inc.) (hereinafter "Defendant"), by and through its attorneys, Childress Duffy Goldblatt, Ltd., and for its Supplemental Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Admissions Numbers 6, 9, 20 and 42, states as follows:

6. As a car dealership, Buick has the duty to inspect every car before sale.

Response: Defendant admits that it owes a duty to inspect vehicles, only the extent that such a duty is imposed by law or agreement. Defendant denies all remaining allegations contained within this Request to Admit.

9. There were maintenance records on the subject car. The car in dispute (VIN I FAFP53SOXG 06195) was repaired on 7/5/2001, 5/21/2003, and 6/26/2003. The odometer reading on 6/26/2003 was 24514 miles. See <u>http://www.carfax.com</u> or <u>http://www.autocheck.com</u>. Buick failed to disclose such information during the sale.

Response: Defendant admits maintenance records relative to the subject vehicle exist. Defendant affirmatively states that the mileage on the car on or about October 6, 2003 was

110459

245

 $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$